## STATE OF PUNJAB

KESAR SINGH

JULY 22, 1996

[DR. A.S. ANAND AND S.B. MAJMUDAR, JJ.]

В

А

Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973: Sections 433, 482.

Sentence—Commutation of—Premature release of accused—Accused sentenced to life imprisonment—14 years sentence not completed—Petition under Section 482 filed after undergoing a little more than 8 years sentence—Direction for premature release by High Court—Appeal preferred by State—Held direction given by High Court was not permissible in law—Order of High Court set aside—Even otherwise High Court could not have ordered itself the premature release of accused—It could have only directed the State Government to consider premature release of accused—It is for the Government to exercise the power in accordance with rules.

D

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION: Criminal Appeal No. 751 of 1996.

From the Judgment and Order dated 18.1.96 of the Punjab & Haryana High Court in Crl. Misc. No. 12243-M of 1995.

E

R.S. Suri for the Appellant.

H.K. Puri, Rajesh Srivastava and Ujjwal Banerjee for the Respondents

F

G

The following Order of the Court was delivered:

Special leave granted.

The respondent was convicted for an offence under Section 302/34 IPC and sentenced to undergo life imprisonment by the judgment and order dated May 28, 1987. After he had undergone a little more than 8 years of sentence he filed a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh seeking premature release. The High Court by the impugned order dated

H

A January 18. 1986 considered the case on its merits and allowed the petition directing the release of the respondent forthwith. The State is aggrieved of the order dated January 18, 1986, hence this appeal.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties. In our opinion the direction given by the High Court was not at all appropriate or permissible in law. The mandate of Section 433 Cr.P.C. enables the Government in an appropriate case to commute the sentence of a convict and to prematurely order his release before expiry of the sentence as imposed by the courts. Clause (b) of Section 433 Cr.P.C. provides that the sentence of imprisonment for life may be commuted for imprisonment for a term not exceeding 14 years or fine. Undisputedly, the respondent had not completed 14 years sentence when he filed the petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking premature release. The direction of the High Court therefore to prematurely release the respondent and set him at liberty forthwith could not have been made. That apart, even if the High Court could give such a direction, it could only direct consideration of the case of premature release by the Government and could not have ordered the premature release of the respondent itself. The right to exercise the power under Section 433 Cr.P.C. vests in the Government and has to be exercised by the Government in accordance with the rules and established principles. The impugned order of the High Court cannot, therefore, be sustained and is hereby set aside. F.

This order shall, however, not come in the way of the respondent for approaching the Government for commutation of his sentence and premature release in terms of the order issued by the Governor of Punjab on March 6, 1985. As and when such an application is made, the State Government shall decide that application on merits uninfluenced by this order or by the observations made by the High Court in the impugned order.

T.N.A.